# BIOLOGY LETTERS

#### royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsbl

# Review



**Cite this article:** Weeks BC, Klemz M, Wada H, Darling R, Dias T, O'Brien BK, Probst CM, Zhang M, Zimova M. 2022 Temperature, size and developmental plasticity in birds. *Biol. Lett.* **18**: 20220357. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2022.0357

Received: 1 August 2022 Accepted: 10 November 2022

#### **Subject Areas:**

developmental biology, ecology, evolution

#### **Keywords:**

developmental plasticity, endotherms, Bergmann's rule, body size, climate change, phenotypic plasticity

#### Author for correspondence:

Brian C. Weeks e-mail: bcweeks@umich.edu

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.6305985.



# Global change biology

# Temperature, size and developmental plasticity in birds

Brian C. Weeks<sup>1</sup>, Madeleine Klemz<sup>1</sup>, Haruka Wada<sup>2</sup>, Rachel Darling<sup>1</sup>, Tiffany Dias<sup>1</sup>, Bruce K. O'Brien<sup>1</sup>, Charlotte M. Probst<sup>1</sup>, Mingyu Zhang<sup>1</sup> and Marketa Zimova<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School for Environment and Sustainability, University of Michigan, Dana Building, 440 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, USA

(D) BCW, 0000-0003-2967-2970; RD, 0000-0002-2457-8718; MZ, 0000-0002-8264-9879

As temperatures increase, there is growing evidence that species across much of the tree of life are getting smaller. These climate change-driven size reductions are often interpreted as a temporal analogue of the observation that individuals within a species tend to be smaller in the warmer parts of the species' range. For ectotherms, there has been a broad effort to understand the role of developmental plasticity in temperature-size relationships, but in endotherms, this mechanism has received relatively little attention in favour of selection-based explanations. We review the evidence for a role of developmental plasticity in warming-driven size reductions in birds and highlight insulin-like growth factors as a potential mechanism underlying plastic responses to temperature in endotherms. We find that, as with ectotherms, changes in temperature during development can result in shifts in body size in birds, with size reductions associated with warmer temperatures being the most frequent association. This suggests developmental plasticity may be an important, but largely overlooked, mechanism underlying warming-driven size reductions in endotherms. Plasticity and natural selection have very different constraining forces, thus understanding the mechanism linking temperature and body size in endotherms has broad implications for predicting future impacts of climate change on biodiversity.

## 1. Introduction

Across the diversity of life, there is a tendency for individuals in the warmer parts of a species' range to be smaller. This spatial pattern—an intraspecific derivative of Bergmann's rule [1–3]—has led to predictions of similarly general reductions in size as the world warms [4,5]. Despite some variation in temperature responses among species [5], evidence of warming-driven size reductions is accumulating both across distantly related taxonomic groups [4,6], and within groups of both endotherms [7–10] and ectotherms [6,11,12]. Body size influences nearly all aspects of an organism's life history [13,14], including physiological tolerances, reproductive capacity and interspecific interactions, and is a key determinant of the contributions of individuals to ecosystem functioning (the stocks, or fluxes, of energy and materials in a natural system; [15,16]). Should widespread reductions in body size in response to warming temperatures be maladaptive, they have the capacity to reduce individual and population fitness [17,18] with broad implications for ecosystems [15,16].

© 2022 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

Despite growing evidence of climate change-driven size reductions, there is considerably less known about the processes linking temperature and size [19].

The two main hypothesized explanations for observed relationships between size and temperature are natural selection and phenotypic plasticity. The original explanation for why organisms tend to be larger in colder regions is that the lower ratio of surface area to volume for larger individuals confers a selective advantage in colder climates by reducing convective heat loss [1,2,20,21]. Building on this idea, in the context of global warming, natural selection for smaller body size to increase convective heat loss in warmer climates is a commonly invoked mechanism for the observed warmingassociated reductions in body size [22]. However, the relationship between temperature, heat dissipation and body size is complex. For example, water requirements for evaporative heat loss are greater under increasing temperatures and this higher demand for water is mass-dependent, with smaller birds experiencing greater increases in water demand [23]. Thus, either relaxed selection for cold tolerance or increased selection for more effective heat dissipation might connect warming temperatures and size reductions, but clearly this relationship is expected to be complex.

While selection is one potential mechanism underlying observed linkages between temperature and body size [4,7,8], it is also possible that warming-driven size reductions are the result of changes to the conditions experienced during development that alter the expression of the underlying genotype (i.e. phenotypic plasticity) [24]. In ectotherms, temperature-mediated developmental plasticity is thought to be a widespread mechanism linking higher temperatures during development with smaller body size at maturity, a pattern known as the temperature-size rule (TSR; [5,25]). The rates of many physiological processes increase approximately exponentially with temperature [26,27]. Warmer environmental temperatures increase metabolic rates and rates of cellular processes in ectotherms, resulting in faster growth and development and smaller body size at maturity [28-30]. This 'pace of life' explanation for the TSR, in which more rapid growth is correlated with smaller size at maturity, is not the only mechanism that has been put forward to explain the connection between temperature and size in ectotherms (notably, reduced oxygen availability is a dominant explanation of the TSR; [31-35]), however, the bulk of the hypothesized mechanisms underlying the TSR in ectotherms invoke developmental plasticity rather than selection [36].

By contrast to ectotherms, in birds and mammals, temperature-mediated developmental plasticity has just recently been invoked as a broadly important mechanism linking warming and observed reductions in body size [24]. This is potentially attributable to the ability of endotherms to buffer their metabolic processes from shifts in ambient temperatures by actively maintaining their body temperatures [37], making them appear less likely than ectotherms to experience variation in physiological processes as a result of differences in environmental temperatures. In fact, there is a long history of empirical work in poultry science demonstrating a permanent change in physiological function and body size due to developmental temperature (see below). Although this literature is rarely considered in wildlife studies, it suggests that developmental plasticity-particularly at the embryonic stage-has the potential to play a major role in observed warming-driven size reductions in natural systems [24,38]. The mechanism underlying temperature-associated differences in size has important implications for understanding and predicting the fitness consequences of climate change.

# 2. Evidence of temperature-driven developmental plasticity in birds

(a) Phenotypic plasticity in endotherms related to size In natural settings, while adult endotherms may be able to buffer themselves from variation in environmental temperatures via thermoregulation, some young birds (e.g. altricial birds like passerines) and young mammals have little ability to thermoregulate and are effectively poikilothermic (i.e. they are unable to regulate their body temperature other than through behaviour; [39–44]). Therefore, at critical periods during development, exposure to increased temperatures may induce developmental plasticity. Additionally, changes in resource availability driven by shifting abiotic conditions can induce phenotypic plasticity through altered resource availability [45], providing an indirect link between temperature and size.

## (b) A review of the experimental evidence of temperature-driven developmental plasticity in birds

We review over half a century of relevant work in both laboratory and natural settings to determine the role of temperature-mediated developmental plasticity in warmingdriven size reductions in birds, and compare experimental and observational results. Results from experiments that focus on within-generational impacts of temperature treatments on size and development cannot be explained by selection; rather, they reflect physiological and morphological responses to temperature by the individual. Should developmental plasticity be a significant mechanism underlying warming-driven size reductions in endotherms, it would have important implications for future morphological consequences of climate change in birds, and could explain both the variation in size responses to temperature within taxonomic groups [4] and consistent changes in size across ecologically distinct species [8].

We conducted a systematic search of the literature, using keyword co-occurrence networks and text-mining [46] to identify over 2000 potentially relevant studies (see the electronic supplementary material (ESM) for methodological details). We reviewed the titles and abstracts of these papers and ultimately identified 178 studies published from 1972 to 2020 that examined the relationship between temperature and avian development for 106 wild bird species. These were composed of 90 studies based on observational data and 91 studies that manipulated ambient temperature and measured the effects of temperature on physiology or morphology.

We focus on 51 of these studies that assessed the relationship between temperature and size for 31 different species (ESM, table S1). Of these, 34 experimentally manipulated the temperatures of eggs or nestlings (individuals after hatching and pre-fledging) and 18 included observational data (one study included both experimental and observational data). Of the relationships between temperature and size reported in the manipulative studies, 64% reported a significant



**Figure 1.** (*a*,*b*) Warmer temperatures during development lead to smaller size. Across two studies (circles [57], and squares [58]), increasing temperatures (redder lines) resulted in reduced size (*a*). The relationship between the final size and temperature (*b*) is nonlinear; at low temperatures, increasing the temperature during development either does not change or slightly increases size, while at higher temperatures, increases in temperature drive a reduction in size. This suggests a general negative relationship between temperature and size, but with the added complexity that temperature effects are variable across rearing temperatures. (*c*) The impacts of warming or cooling on developmental plasticity may be determined by the relationship between ambient and optimal developmental temperatures. If the ambient temperature, A, is below the optimal developmental temperature for a species, warmer temperatures during development may result in an increase in size (red line), and cooling may reduce size (blue line). Conversely, if ambient temperature, B, is at or above optimal, increasing temperatures may reduce size (red line) while cooling may increase size (blue line). Optimal developmental temperatures and the form of this relationship are unknown for the vast majority of endotherms.



**Figure 2.** (*a*) Plastic changes in size were observed in response to both experimental warming and cooling. For those studies that experimentally tested size responses to warming, 61% of the relationships recovered were warming-driven declines in size. While fewer studies experimentally cooled nests, there was a high rate of cooling-driven size reductions (67%). (*b*) As with the experimental results, observational studies report a widespread relationship between warmer temperatures and size, with reductions in size occurring in a majority (60%) of instances. These findings are robust to the inclusion of only a single study per species in each category (ESM).

relationship between temperature and size, with the most common response to both heating and cooling treatments being a reduction in size (figure 2*a*; ESM). Within the studies that experimentally increased ambient temperature, 61% of the relationships recovered were a decline in size, 4% were an increase in size and 36% were not significant (figure 2*a*). Some of the studies that found warming-driven size reductions found that the warmer temperatures were also associated with faster growth rates [47–52]. This suggests a mechanism similar to the 'pace of life' explanation in ectotherms, although this pattern was not universal [53–55].

While warming-driven size reductions were the most frequently reported outcome, there were other temperature–size relationships. Although studies that experimentally decreased rearing temperature (n = 15) were less common than warming experiments (n = 26), a higher proportion (67%) of the relationships recovered in the cooling studies were declines in size. And—while it was less common than size reductions—4% of the warming treatments resulted in larger size (figure 2*a*). It is possible that whether higher temperatures result in an increase or decrease in size may depend on where ambient temperatures stand in relation to the optimal temperature for development (used here to mean the temperature that results in the largest offspring) for a species [56]. If ambient temperatures are at, or above, the optimum temperature for development, higher temperature may result in smaller size; conversely, if ambient temperature are below the optimum temperature, higher temperature may result in larger size as it approaches an optimum temperature (figure 1*c*). In addition to data that are consistent with this hypothesis from poultry, there are several examples of studies that warmed nests of nonmodel species during the nestling phase and found results that follow this pattern. A mild increase of nest temperature to 31.8°C produced heavier nestlings in tree swallows [59] while heating nests to 44.1°C, with maximum temperatures above 50°C, well above the thermoneutral temperature range of blue tit nestlings (35-40°C; [60]) suppressed nestling growth of blue tits [61]. Importantly this phenomenon may vary across life stages. For example, the relationship between the experimental incubation temperature relative to the optimal incubation temperature and its effect on body size and growth may be different during the incubation phase when lower than optimal incubation temperature may reduce efficiency of yolk usage leading to consistently low growth for high yolk usage [62], with less consistent effects after hatching [63,64]. Understanding the extent to which a nonlinear relationship between temperature and size may explain these apparently conflicting findings will require a broader knowledge of the optimal temperatures for development across species. Comparative studies may also reveal important roles of life-history strategy and species-specific physiology in mediating temperature-development relationships. Further, smaller body size may not be maladaptive; understanding how differences in body size relate to fitness across a range of environmental conditions is thus critical to interpreting the consequences of temperature-size relationships.

## (c) Interpreting observational results in light of the experimental findings on temperature-mediated plasticity

While experimental manipulations are necessary to isolate the effects of developmental plasticity from potentially confounding impacts of natural selection, it is possible to qualitatively compare temperature–size relationships in experimental and observational studies using the studies we review. Eighteen of the observational studies we reviewed quantified the relationship between temperature and size (figure 2*b*), and 14 of these (78%) were exploring the impacts of warmer temperatures on size. Of the relationships recovered in these studies, 60% found that warmer temperatures were associated with reduced body size, 33% found that warmer temperatures were associated with larger body size and 7% reported no relationship.

If both selection and plasticity were contributing to observed warming-driven size reductions, we might expect a higher proportion of the observational studies to find warming-driven size reductions. This assumes additionality, in which both developmental plasticity and selection would contribute to size reductions, as opposed to only developmental plasticity in the experimental studies. However, we do not find evidence of this. Roughly the same proportion of relationships in the correlational (60%) and experimental (61%) studies find warmer temperatures are associated with size reductions, and a higher proportion of the correlational warming studies reported an increase in size (33%) than in experimental studies (4%). Our results are thus consistent with a relatively important role for developmental plasticity in driving warming-driven size reductions in the observational studies, although it should be noted that the limited sample size, relatively subtle variation in temperature in the observational studies and potential for confounding processes that covary with temperature are important caveats to this interpretation.

# 3. Potential mechanistic drivers of temperaturemediated developmental plasticity in endotherms

#### (a) Resource-driven phenotypic plasticity

One possible pathway by which warming might induce phenotypic plasticity-driven size reductions is through temperature-related changes in resource availability. Unlike ectotherms, the young of many birds and mammals rely solely on food provided by their parents. For birds, when ambient temperature increases, provisioning rates and total prey delivered by the parents can decrease, resulting in smaller and lighter fledglings [65-67]. Similarly, in mammals lactation can be limited by higher temperatures as a result of constraints on energy expenditure imposed by heat dissipation capacity [22], and this can ultimately reduce offspring size [68]. Consistent with this mechanism, in mammals, the impacts of temperature on size are mediated by resource availability and life-history strategies [69]. Thus, temperature effects on phenotypic plasticity driven by changes in resource availability may act in combination with direct effects of temperature on developmental plasticity. However, consistent declines in size associated with warming temperatures across diverse groups of endothermic species [8], and warming-driven reductions in size when feeding rates are kept consistent in experimental settings [70], suggest a role for a fundamental physiological link between ambient temperature and size. While resource availability could impact the observational studies we review, the plastic responses to nest warming experiments are unlikely to result from changes in resource availability, as the ambient temperatures and thus temperature-mediated resource availability remained unchanged.

# (b) Heat stress, developmental plasticity in the IGF pathway and body size

In addition to plasticity resulting from the effects of temperature on resource availability, temperature may directly impact key physiological determinants of body size, such as growth hormones and insulin-like growth factors (IGFs). In particular, the relationship between IGFs and size is well known, yet is rarely considered as a potential mechanism in studies investigating climate-change driven size reductions. IGF signalling pathways regulate cellular metabolism, proliferation and differentiation and are thought to mediate the effects of environmental conditions on growth, body size, fecundity and senescence [71,72]. Broadly across passerines, there is a positive relationship between IGF levels and mass [73], and experimental increases in IGFs during embryonic and fetal development result in increased gestational and postnatal body size and mass in birds and mammals [74-76]. Temperature during development can impact IGF levels directly (i.e. this effect is not mediated through temperature effects on resource availability), with higher temperatures associated with reductions in serum IGF levels and gene expression of IGFs [70]. Such a direct relationship between temperature and IGF levels suggests heat-driven plasticity in IGF

levels is a potential mechanistic link between increasing temperatures and size reductions in birds.

#### (c) Temperature, developmental plasticity and IGFs in model systems

The production of large individuals has long been a primary goal of poultry science, and as a result the relationship between temperature and size has been thoroughly characterized in chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus). There is a rich history of replicated experiments in which large numbers of genetically similar chicks are reared under controlled conditions, making it possible to isolate the effects of temperature on development. While there is significant variation in the methodologies of these papers, including the degree, duration and life stage of experimental manipulation, key generalities have emerged. In particular, warmer temperatures tend to result in smaller individuals. For example, two studies with carefully standardized methodologies and high sample sizes (n = 4240) that reared chickens across a range of temperatures [57,58] found a general relationship between increasing temperatures and smaller body size (figure 1a). However, this relationship is nonlinear. At low temperatures, there is no reduction, or even a slight increase, in size as temperature increases; this is followed by a negative relationship between temperature and size at higher temperatures (figure 1b). It is possible that developmental plasticity in the IGF pathways may underlie this connection between temperature and size.

The impacts of temperature on IGF pathways are complex. In particular, the duration, timing and severity of the manipulation of temperature during development determines whether the thermal stress increases [77,78] or decreases [64,79-81] size. In chickens, short, intermittent exposure to heat during mid- or late-incubation can improve post-hatch growth rate and is associated with elevated IGF expression during the treatment and post-hatch development [82,83]. On the other hand, prolonged heat exposure can lead to reductions in body mass and body size, with high ambient temperatures reducing body mass independently of any effect on food intake [70]. Ma et al. [70] showed that developing chickens that were exposed to heat stress (ambient temperatures were held at 32°C for 14 days) had lower body mass gain compared to non-heat stressed chickens (reared at 22°C), even when the non-heat stressed group was pair-fed (i.e. its food intake was constrained to be the same as the food intake of the heat-stressed group). Serum IGF and IGF receptor expression in the breast muscle were both significantly lower in the heat stress group compared to the non-heat stressed group. Observed reductions in receptor expression likely reduce the efficacy of IGF, as a study with mutant newborn mice lacking IGF receptors showed that those mice suffered several developmental delays including delays in bone development of 1 to 2 days, as well as reduced number of myocytes and dwarfism [84]. IGF1 binding to IGF1 receptors activates the IGF1/protein kinase B pathway which in turn promotes protein synthesis and thus muscle growth through mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and 70 kD ribosomal protein S6 kinase (S6K1) [85]. Interestingly, chronic heat stress in broiler chickens reduced both mTOR and S6K1 gene expression in breast muscles [70] indicating that heat stress reduces body mass by downregulating the IGF1/protein kinase B pathway, independently from temperature impacts on food intake.

The relationship between IGF and size is not limited to birds. Transgenic mice embryos with a local elevation of IGF gene expression in skeletal muscles had increased muscle mass and fibre area [86], and reduced serum IGF levels in dogs are associated with smaller size [87]. Given the suppression of IGF pathways that results from chronic heat stress in birds, and the broad correlation between IGF levels and size in birds and mammals, temperature impacts on the IGF axis are one possible physiological mechanism underlying developmentally plastic size reductions associated with global warming.

To date, experimental work on the effects of temperature manipulations on the IGF axis, particularly in the context of climate change, has been limited. Given the potential significance of this relationship, work testing whether IGF pathways link climate change and size reductions across diverse sets of species is an exciting avenue of future research. Further, very little is known about the impacts of sub-optimally cold temperatures on the IGF pathway, thus the ability of IGF pathways to explain the connections between reduced temperatures and smaller body size (figure 2*a*) remains an open question.

#### (d) Other possible physiological mechanisms

Aside from the potential direct effect of heat on IGFs, other neuroendocrine mechanisms, such as glucocorticoids and thyroid hormones, may also play a role in mediating heat-associated size reduction in endotherms. High ambient temperatures can stimulate the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis and elevate glucocorticoid secretion from the adrenals [88]. Chronic and excessive elevation of glucocorticoids reduces skeletal growth both directly, by increasing bone resorption and inhibiting osteoblast activity, and indirectly through interfering with the growth hormone/IGF axis [89–91]. Taken together, this suggests glucocorticoids are likely to facilitate heat-associated suppression in skeletal growth.

Thyroid hormones are essential for normal development and play a key role in thermoregulation and metabolism [92]. For instance, hypothyroidism during postnatal development can halt growth in humans if untreated [89]. In birds, embryonic and postnatal exposure to heat has mixed results on thyroid hormone levels [93], thus, whether high ambient temperature can influence body size through the hypothalamic–pituitary–thyroid axis warrants further investigation.

It is also possible that heat-associated reductions in body size are due to reduced feed efficiency (i.e. the efficiency of conversion of feed into tissue). Altricial birds, such as passerines, cannot thermoregulate for 1 to 2 weeks in the nestling stage [94], and while little is known about the relationship between ambient temperature and feed efficiency in young birds, some ectotherms (e.g. fish) show reduced feed efficiency at low and high temperature during development [95,96].

#### 4. Limitations and outstanding questions

While there is a long history of experimental work linking temperature during ontogenetic growth and development, much is left to be learned. Across the studies we have synthesized, there was large variation in the temperature treatments; some studies relied on extremely coarse warming measures (e.g. putting candles underneath nests [97]) and

there was a wide range in the intensity-both the duration and degree of temperature change-of the temperature treatments, which may be important determinants of the temperature effects. Further, across the studies there was variation in the developmental stage subjected to the temperature manipulations, and different indicators of size effects (e.g. changes in mass, or the length of the tarsus or wing) were measured at various life stages (ESM, table S1). While this lack of standardization precluded making more detailed consensus statements and may have reduced the consistency within our results, there is no reason to expect that the noise added to the data as a result of this complexity would alter the direction of the patterns we recover. Additional work on this topic may lead to insights into study- and species-specific attributes that determine the magnitude and consistency of temperature-development relationships. While birds and mammals are both endotherms, and many of their developmental responses to thermal pressures are similar [98], they have myriad differences in their physiology and reproductive biology that likely result in different impacts of thermal conditions on development. For example, external development in birds likely exposes bird embryos to greater fluctuations in temperature than embryos of non-monotreme mammals [98]. There remain important outstanding areas of uncertainty surrounding the impacts of thermal changes on development. These are particularly pronounced in mammals for which data are limited compared to birds [98], but in birds the relationship between warming temperatures and development is sensitive to a range of variables including the time in development of warming (e.g. pre- or post-hatching) [24], the reproductive strategy of birds [98] and how increasing climate variability may influence temperature-development relationships in birds [98], and these remain active areas of research.

It is also possible that the results of warming experiments may not be accurate indicators of the role of phenotypic plasticity in natural systems. Parental behavioural change in response to warmer ambient temperatures could dampen or strengthen phenotypic plasticity in offspring. Parents can actively select nest locations to take advantage of cooler microclimates [99], potentially mitigating the effects of increasing temperatures on offspring. Conversely, higher temperatures can cause individuals to change foraging behaviour, reducing foraging efficiency [100], and even leading to negative growth on particularly hot days [101], and alterations in parental behaviour as temperatures warm may further expose pre-hatching offspring to thermal stress [102]; broadly, behavioural changes in response to temperature can incur costs-including reduced foraging, offspring care and defence of territories [103]-and these costs may be important determinants of vulnerability of species to climate change [103]. Clearly, developmental plasticity is only part of a complex suite of factors guiding phenotypic plasticity. Given that many of the experiments we reviewed did not integrate parental behaviour in a warmer world, the influence of parental responses to climate on offspring development might reduce or exacerbate the relationships we find. As such, it is possible that parental behaviour might shield young from experiencing extreme temperature and reduce the contribution of developmental plasticity to warming-driven size reductions in the wild. Additionally, there is a bias toward temperate species and systems in the data, with limited analyses of tropical species outside of Australia; this bias exists in observational studies as well, and highlights a priority for future work.

Finally, it is possible that publication bias—in particular, lower rates of publishing non-significant effects of temperature treatments—may have inflated the percentage of studies in which responses to warming or cooling treatments occurred. While this has the potential to have inflated the percentage of studies that find warming-driven size reductions, our conclusion that developmental plasticity has the capacity to link temperature and size in birds is robust to this potential complication.

### 5. Conclusion

Widespread shifts in body size across diverse groups of species have the potential to have far-reaching consequences for the persistence of individual species and the functioning of natural systems [5,15]. Despite evidence of warmingdriven body size reductions in both ectotherms and endotherms, the attribution of this trend to developmental plasticity has largely been confined to ectotherms. However, we find that there is a large body of empirical evidence which suggests a role for developmental plasticity in warming-driven size reductions in birds, consistent with previous synthetic [19,24,104] and single-species [38] efforts to understand the mechanistic link between variation in air temperature and size. Additional comparative research is needed to tease apart general processes and species-specific attributes that modulate the temperature-development relationship. Drawing on the extensive empirical research in poultry science, we propose that temperature effects may be impacted by the relationship between ambient and optimum temperatures for development. Finally, we synthesize evidence of the effects of temperature on IGF levels and hypothesize that IGF pathways may underlie this phenomenon.

The expression of different phenotypes depending on environmental conditions during development can result in permanent physiological or behavioural changes. Should this developmental plasticity shift traits to better match the demands of environmental conditions, they may improve fitness [105,106]; however, data on the fitness benefits of developmental plasticity driven by thermal conditions is limited [93]. There is some evidence that individuals that are more sensitive to developmental temperature have greater post-fledging survival, suggesting plasticity can improve fitness [56,107]. However, plasticity is not always adaptive. When the environmental cues that drive developmental plasticity are unreliable indicators of trait optima, plasticity can lead to population declines [108]. Further, changes in the thermal environment can impact aspects of the phenotype beyond size, including thermoregulatory control, which can be constrained or enhanced by variation in developmental temperatures [98], and warmer temperatures can have direct deleterious effects on the physiology of developing individuals [109]. Even when plasticity might be adaptive, it may be insufficient to prevent long-term fitness declines [110], and there is little evidence of selection for plasticity in response to thermal conditions [111]. Thus, even if plastic responses to rising temperatures are currently conferring fitness benefits, the potential for plasticity to mitigate the impacts of continued global warming may be constrained, though the fitness consequences of temperature-dependent development remains an open question.

Natural selection and plasticity are non-exclusive potential mechanisms of temperature-driven changes in body size. While natural selection can drive directional changes in the phenotype, evolutionary changes are slow relative to plastic changes [21,112] and may be less uniform across species than plasticity operating on essential physiological processes. Understanding the relative importance of natural selection and plasticity in mediating the relationship between temperature and morphology in endotherms should be a priority. As the world continues to warm, these mechanisms have different implications for both the universality, fitness consequences and future trajectories of warming-driven morphological change.

Data accessibility. The data are provided in electronic supplementary material [113].

Authors' contributions. B.C.W.: conceptualization, formal analysis, project administration, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; M.K.: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, writing—review and editing; H.W.: conceptualization, writing—review and editing; R.D.: data curation, investigation, writing—review and editing; T.D.: data curation, methodology, writing—review and editing; B.K.O.: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, writing review and editing; C.M.P.: investigation, validation, writing review and editing; M.Zh.: data curation, methodology, writing review and editing; M.Zh.: conceptualization, methodology, writing review and editing; M.Zh.: conceptualization, methodology, writing review and editing.

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein.

Conflict of interest declaration. We declare we have no competing interests. Funding. We received no funding for this study.

#### References

- Bergmann C. 1847 Über die Verhältnisse der Wärmeökonomie der Thiere zu ihrer Grösse. *Göttinger Studien 1, 59*5–708.
- Rensch B. 1938 Some problems of geographical variation and species formation. *Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond.* 150, 275–285. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312. 1938.tb00182k.x)
- Blackburn TM, Gaston KJ, Loder N. 1999 Geographic gradients in body size: a clarification of Bergmann's rule. *Divers. Distrib.* 5, 165–174. (doi:10.1046/j. 1472-4642.1999.00046.x)
- Gardner JL, Peters A, Kearney MR, Joseph L, Heinsohn R. 2011 Declining body size: a third universal response to warming? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 26, 285–291. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.03.005)
- Sheridan JA, Bickford D. 2011 Shrinking body size as an ecological response to climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* 1, 401–406. (doi:10.1038/nclimate1259)
- Daufresne M, Lengfellner K, Sommer U. 2009 Global warming benefits the small in aquatic ecosystems. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 12 788–12 793. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0902080106)
- Van Buskirk J, Mulvihill RS, Leberman RC. 2010 Declining body sizes in North American birds associated with climate change. *Oikos* 119, 1047–1055. (doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.18349.x)
- Weeks BC, Willard DE, Zimova M, Ellis AA, Witynski ML, Hennen M, Winger BM. 2020 Shared morphological consequences of global warming in North American migratory birds. *Ecol. Lett.* 23, 316–325. (doi:10.1111/ele.13434)
- Jirinec V *et al.* 2021 Morphological consequences of climate change for resident birds in intact Amazonian rainforest. *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabk1743. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.abk1743)
- Dubiner S, Meiri S. 2022 Widespread recent changes in morphology of Old World birds, global warming the immediate suspect. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 31, 791–801. (doi:10.1111/geb.13474)
- Caruso NM, Sears MW, Adams DC, Lips KR. 2014 Widespread rapid reductions in body size of adult salamanders in response to climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 1751–1759. (doi:10.1111/ gcb.12550)

- Audzijonyte A, Richards SA, Stuart-Smith RD, Pecl G, Edgar GJ, Barrett NS, Payne N, Blanchard JL.
  2020 Fish body sizes change with temperature but not all species shrink with warming. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 4, 809–814. (doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1171-0)
- Pigot A *et al.* 2020 Macroevolutionary convergence connects morphological form to ecological function in birds. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 4, 230–239. (doi:10.1038/ s41559-019-1070-4)
- Weeks BC, Naeem S, Winger BM, Cracraft J. 2020 The relationship between morphology and behavior in mixed-species flocks of island birds. *Ecol. Evol.* 10, 10 593–10 606. (doi:10.1002/ece3.6714)
- Norkko A, Villnäs A, Norkko J, Valanko S, Pilditch C. 2013 Size matters: implications of the loss of large individuals for ecosystem function. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 2646. (doi:10.1038/srep02646)
- Allgeier JE, Wenger SJ, Rosemond AD, Schindler DE, Layman CA. 2015 Metabolic theory and taxonomic identity predict nutrient recycling in a diverse food web. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E2640–E2647. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1420819112)
- Reading CJ. 2007 Linking global warming to amphibian declines through its effects on female body condition and survivorship. *Oecologia* **151**, 125–131. (doi:10.1007/s00442-006-0558-1)
- Ozgul A, Childs DZ, Oli MK, Armitage KB, Blumstein DT, Olson LE, Tuljapurkar S, Coulson T. 2010 Coupled dynamics of body mass and population growth in response to environmental change. *Nature* 466, 482–485. (doi:10.1038/nature09210)
- Gienapp P, Teplitsky C, Alho JS, Mills JA, Merilä J. 2008 Climate change and evolution: disentangling environmental and genetic responses. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 167–178. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007. 03413.x)
- Mayr E. 1956 Geographical character gradient and climatic adaptation. *Evolution* **10**, 105–108. (doi:10. 1111/j.1558-5646.1956.tb02836.x)
- Watt C, Mitchell S, Salewski V. 2010 Bergmann's rule: a concept cluster? *Oikos* 119, 89–100. (doi:10. 1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17959.x)
- 22. Speakman JR, Król E. 2010 Maximal heat dissipation capacity and hyperthermia risk: neglected key

factors in the ecology of endotherms. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **79**, 726–746.

- McKechnie AE, Wolf BO. 2010 Climate change increases the likelihood of catastrophic avian mortality events during extreme heat waves. *Biol. Lett.* 6, 253–256. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.0702)
- Sauve D, Friesen VL, Charmantier A. 2021 The effects of weather on avian growth and implications for adaptation to climate change. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 9, 569741. (doi:10.3389/fevo.2021.569741)
- Atkinson D. 1994 Temperature and organism size: a biological law for ectotherms? *Adv. Ecol. Res.* 25, 1–58. (doi:10.1016/S0065-2504(08)60212-3)
- Clarke A, Fraser KPP. 2004 Why does metabolism scale with temperature? *Funct. Ecol.* **18**, 243–251. (doi:10.1111/j.0269-8463.2004.00841.x)
- Gillooly JF, Brown JH, West GB, Savage VM, Charnov EL. 2001 Effects of size and temperature on metabolic rate. *Science* 293, 2248–2251. (doi:10. 1126/science.1061967)
- Singh SK, Das D, Rhen T. 2020 Embryonic temperature programs phenotype in reptiles. *Front. Physiol.* **11**, 35. (doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.00035)
- Dillon ME, Wang G, Huey RB. 2010 Global metabolic impacts of recent climate warming. *Nature* 467, 704–706. (doi:10.1038/nature09407)
- Zuo W, Moses ME, West GB, Hou C, Brown JH. 2012 A general model for effects of temperature on ectotherm ontogenetic growth and development. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 279, 1840–1846. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2011.2000)
- Forster J, Hirst AG, Atkinson D. 2012 Warminginduced reductions in body size are greater in aquatic than terrestrial species. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 19 310–19 314. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1210460109)
- Verberk WCEP, Atkinson D, Hoefnagel KN, Hirst AG, Horne CR, Siepel H. 2021 Shrinking body sizes in response to warming: explanations for the temperature–size rule with special emphasis on the role of oxygen. *Biol. Rev.* 96, 247–268. (doi:10. 1111/brv.12653)
- 33. Atkinson D, Morley SA, Hughes RN. 2006 From cells to colonies: at what levels of body organization

does the 'temperature-size rule' apply? *Evol. Dev.* **8**, 202–214. (doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2006. 00090.x)

- Pörtner HO, Bock C, Mark FC. 2017 Oxygen- & capacity-limited thermal tolerance: bridging ecology & physiology. *J. Exp. Biol.* 220, 2685–2696. (doi:10. 1242/jeb.134585)
- Deutsch C, Penn JL, Verberk WCEP, Inomura K, Endress M-G, Payne JL. 2022 Impact of warming on aquatic body sizes explained by metabolic scaling from microbes to macrofauna. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **119**, e2201345119. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 2201345119)
- Audzijonyte A, Barneche DR, Baudron AR, Belmaker J, Clark TD, Marshall CT, Morrongiello JR, van Rijn I. 2019 Is oxygen limitation in warming waters a valid mechanism to explain decreased body sizes in aquatic ectotherms? *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 28, 64–77. (doi:10.1111/geb.12847)
- McNab BK. 2002 The physiological ecology ofvertebrates: a view from energetics. Ithaca, NY: Comstock/Cornell University Press.
- Teplitsky C, Mills JA, Alho JS, Yarrall JW, Merilä J. 2008 Bergmann's rule and climate change revisited: disentangling environmental and genetic responses in a wild bird population. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 13 492–13 496. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0800999105)
- Dawson WR, Evans FC. 1960 Relation of growth and development to temperature regulation in nestling vesper sparrows. *Condor* 62, 329–340. (doi:10.2307/ 1365163)
- Pereyra ME, Morton ML. 2001 Nestling growth and thermoregulatory development in subalpine dusky flycatchers. *Auk* **118**, 116–136. (doi:10.1093/auk/ 118.1.116)
- Dunn EH. 1975 The timing of endothermy in the development of altrical birds. *Condor* 77, 288–293. (doi:10.2307/1366224)
- Wegrzyn E. 2013 Resource allocation between growth and endothermy allows rapid nestling development at low feeding rates in a species under high nest predation. *J. Avian Biol.* 44, 383–389. (doi:10.1111/j.1600-048X.2013.05846.x)
- Andreasson F, Nord A, Nilsson JÅ. 2016 Brood size constrains the development of endothermy in blue tits. J. Exp. Biol. 219, 2212–2219. (doi:10.1242/jeb. 135350)
- Nilsson JÅ, Nord A. 2017 The use of the nest for parental roosting and thermal consequences of the nest for nestlings and parents. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 71, 171. (doi:10.1007/s00265-017-2400-7)
- Both C, Bouwhuis S, Lessells CM, Visser ME. 2006 Climate change and population declines in a longdistance migratory bird. *Nature* 441, 81–83. (doi:10.1038/nature04539)
- Grames EM, Stillman AN, Tingley MW, Elphick CS. 2019 An automated approach to identifying search terms for systematic reviews using keyword cooccurrence networks. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **10**, 1645–1654. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13268)
- Weinrich J, Baker J. 1978 Adélie penguin (*Pygoscelis adeliae*) embryonic development at different temperatures. *Auk* 95, 569–576.

- Eiby YA, Booth DT. 2009 The effects of incubation temperature on the morphology and composition of Australian brush-turkey (*Alectura lathami*) chicks. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **179**, 875–882. (doi:10.1007/ s00360-009-0370-4)
- Spiers DE, Baummer SC. 1990 Embryonic development of Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) as influenced by periodic cold exposure. *Physiol. Zool.* 63, 516–535. (doi:10.1086/physzool. 63.3.30156226)
- Koláčková M, Kreisinger J, Albrecht T, Hořák D. 2019 Effect of incubation temperature on sex-dependent embryo mortality and morphological traits in mallard. *J. Therm. Biol* 83, 95–102. (doi:10.1016/j. jtherbio.2019.05.007)
- Auer SK, Martin TE. 2017 Parental care mitigates carry-over effects of poor early conditions on offspring growth. *Behav. Ecol.* 28, 1176–1182. (doi:10.1093/beheco/arx082)
- Gurley B, Finger JW, Wada H. 2018 Sex-specific effects of incubation temperature on embryonic development of zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*) embryos. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **91**, 1036–1045. (doi:10.1086/699741)
- Ton R, Martin TE. 2017 Proximate effects of temperature versus evolved intrinsic constraints for embryonic development times among temperate and tropical songbirds. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 895. (doi:10. 1038/s41598-017-00885-3)
- Strausberger BM. 1998 Temperature, egg mass, and incubation time: a comparison of brown-headed cowbirds and red-winged blackbirds. *Auk* 115, 843–850. (doi:10.2307/4089503)
- DuRant SE, Hopkins WA, Wilson AF, Hepp GR. 2012 Incubation temperature affects the metabolic cost of thermoregulation in a young precocial bird. *Funct. Ecol.* 26, 416–422. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011. 01945.x)
- Andreasson F, Nilsson JÅ, Nord A. 2020 Avian reproduction in a warming world. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 8, 576331. (doi:10.3389/fevo.2020.576331)
- May JD, Lott BD. 2001 Relating weight gain and feed: gain of male and female broilers to rearing temperature. *Poult. Sci.* 80, 581–584. (doi:10.1093/ ps/80.5.581)
- Reece FN, Lott BD. 1983 The effects of temperature and age on body weight and feed efficiency of broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 62, 1906–1908. (doi:10. 3382/ps.0621906)
- Dawson RD, Lawrie CC, O'Brien EL. 2005 The importance of microclimate variation in determining size, growth and survival of avian offspring: experimental evidence from a cavity nesting passerine. *Oecologia* 144, 499–507. (doi:10.1007/ s00442-005-0075-7)
- O'Conner RJ. 1975 Growth and metabolism in nestling passerines. *Symp. Zool. Soc. London* 35, 277–306.
- Andreasson F, Nord A, Nilsson JÅ. 2018 Experimentally increased nest temperature affects body temperature, growth and apparent survival in blue tit nestlings. *J. Avian Biol.* 49, jav-01620. (doi:10.1111/jav.01620)

- Olson CR, Vleck CM, Vleck D. 2006 Periodic cooling of bird eggs reduces embryonic growth efficiency. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **79**, 927–936. (doi:10.1086/ 506003)
- Nord A, Nilsson JÅ. 2011 Incubation temperature affects growth and energy metabolism in blue tit nestlings. Am. Nat. 178, 639–651. (doi:10.1086/ 662172)
- Wada H, Kriengwatana B, Allen N, Schmidt KL, Soma KK, MacDougall-Shackleton SA. 2015 Transient and permanent effects of suboptimal incubation temperatures on growth, metabolic rate, immune function and adrenocortical responses in zebra finches. J. Exp. Biol. 218, 2847–2855.
- 65. Van De Ven TMFN. 2017 Implications of climate change on the reproductive success of the southern yellow-billed hornbill, *Tockus leucomelas*. PhD thesis, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.
- McKechnie AE. 2019 Physiological and morphological effects of climate change. In *Effects of climate change* on birds (eds PO Dunn, AP Møller), pp. 120–134. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Cunningham SJ, Martin RO, Hojem CL, Hockey PAR. 2013 Temperatures in excess of critical thresholds threaten nestling growth and survival in a rapidlywarming arid savanna: a study of common fiscals. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e74613. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0074613)
- Simons MJP, Reimert I, Van Der Vinne V, Hambly C, Vaanholt LM, Speakman JR, Gerkema MP. 2011 Ambient temperature shapes reproductive output during pregnancy and lactation in the common vole (*Microtus arvalis*): a test of the heat dissipation limit theory. J. Exp. Biol. 214, 38–49. (doi:10.1242/jeb. 044230)
- Hantak MM, McLean BS, Li D, Guralnick RP. 2021 Mammalian body size is determined by interactions between climate, urbanization, and ecological traits. *Commun. Biol.* 4, 972. (doi:10.1038/s42003-021-02505-3)
- Ma B, He X, Lu Z, Zhang L, Li J, Jiang Y, Zhou G, Gao F. 2018 Chronic heat stress affects muscle hypertrophy, muscle protein synthesis and uptake of amino acid in broilers via insulin like growth factormammalian target of rapamycin signal pathway. *Poult. Sci.* 97, 4150–4158. (doi:10.3382/ps/pev291)
- Regan JC, Froy H, Walling CA, Moatt JP, Nussey DH. 2020 Dietary restriction and insulin-like signalling pathways as adaptive plasticity: a synthesis and reevaluation. *Funct. Ecol.* 34, 107–128. (doi:10.1111/ 1365-2435.13418)
- Schwartz TS, Bronikowski AM. 2016 Evolution and function of the insulin and insulin-like signaling network in ectothermic reptiles: some answers and more questions. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 56, 171–184. (doi:10.1093/icb/icw046)
- Lodjak J, Mänd R, Mägi M. 2018 Insulin-like growth factor 1 and life-history evolution of passerine birds. *Funct. Ecol.* **32**, 313–323. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435. 12993)
- 74. Kimble RM, Breier BH, Gluckman PD, Harding JE. 1999 Enteral IGF-I enhances fetal growth and

gastrointestinal development in oesophageal ligated fetal sheep. *J. Endocrinol.* **162**, 227–235. (doi:10. 1677/joe.0.1620227)

- Bloomfield FH, Breier BH, Harding JE. 2002 Fate of <sup>125</sup>I-IGF-I administered into the amniotic fluid of lategestation fetal sheep. *Pediatr. Res.* 51, 361–369. (doi:10.1203/00006450-200203000-00016)
- Kocamis H, Kirkpatrick-Keller DC, Klandorf H, Killefer J. 1998 *In ovo* administration of recombinant human insulin-like growth factor-l alters postnatal growth and development of the broiler chicken. *Poult. Sci.* **77**, 1913–1919. (doi:10.1093/ps/77.12.1913)
- Saleh KMM, Tarkhan AH, Al-Zghoul MB. 2020 Embryonic thermal manipulation affects the antioxidant response to post-hatch thermal exposure in broiler chickens. *Animals* **10**, 1–14.
- Shinder D, Ruzal M, Giloh M, Druyan S, Piestun Y, Yahav S. 2011 Improvement of cold resistance and performance of broilers by acute cold exposure during late embryogenesis. *Poult. Sci.* **90**, 633–641. (doi:10.3382/ps.2010-01089)
- Piestun Y, Shinder D, Ruzal M, Halevy O, Brake J, Yahav S. 2008 Thermal manipulations during broiler embryogenesis: effect on the acquisition of thermotolerance. *Poult. Sci.* 87, 1516–1525. (doi:10. 3382/ps.2008-00030)
- Tona K *et al.* 2008 Effects of heat conditioning at d 16 to 18 of incubation or during early broiler rearing on embryo physiology, post-hatch growth performance and heat tolerance. *Archiv fur Geflugelkunde* **72**, 75–83.
- Durant SE, Hepp GR, Moore IT, Hopkins BC, Hopkins WA. 2010 Slight differences in incubation temperature affect early growth and stress endocrinology of wood duck (*Aix sponsa*) ducklings. *J. Exp. Biol.* 213, 45–51. (doi:10.1242/ jeb.034488)
- Al-Zghoul MB, Al-Natour MQ, Dalab AS, Alturki OI, Althnaian T, Al-ramadan SY, Hannon KM. 2016 Thermal manipulation mid-term broiler chicken embryogenesis: effect on muscle growth factors and muscle marker genes. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic.* 18, 607–618. (doi:10.1590/1806-9061-2016-0260)
- Al-Zghoul MB, El-Bahr SM. 2019 Thermal manipulation of the broilers embryos: expression of muscle markers genes and weights of body and internal organs during embryonic and post-hatch days. *BMC Vet. Res.* **15**, 166. (doi:10.1186/s12917-019-1917-6)
- Liu J-P, Baker J, Perkins AS, Roberteon EJ, Efetratiadie A. 1993 Mice carrying null mutations of the genes encoding insulin-like growth factor I (Igf-1) and type 1 IGF receptor (Igflr). *Cell* **75**, 59–72.
- Schiaffino S, Mammucari C. 2011 Regulation of skeletal muscle growth by the IGF1-Akt/PKB pathway: insights from genetic models. *Skelet. Muscle* 1, 4. (doi:10.1186/2044-5040-1-4)
- Musarò A, McCullagh K, Paul A, Houghton L, Dobrowolny G, Molinaro M, Barton ER, L Sweeney H, Rosenthal N. 2001 Localized Igf-1 transgene

expression sustains hypertrophy and regeneration in senescent skeletal muscle. *Nat. Genet.* **27**, 195–200. (doi:10.1038/84839)

- Sutter NB *et al.* 2007 A single IGF1 allele is a major determinant of small size in dogs. *Science* **316**, 112–115. (doi:10.1126/science.1137045)
- Ruuskanen S, Hsu BY, Nord A. 2021 Endocrinology of thermoregulation in birds in a changing climate. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **519**, 111088. (doi:10.1016/j. mce.2020.111088)
- Robson H, Siebler T, Shalet SM, Williams GR. 2002 Interactions between GH, IGF-I, glucocorticoids, and thyroid hormones during skeletal growth. *Pediatr. Res.* 52, 137–147. (doi:10.1203/00006450-200208000-00003)
- Dell G, Ward A, Shokrai A, Madei A, Engström W. 1999 Regulation of the IGF system by glucocortidoids. *Zool. Sci.* 16, 377–385. (doi:10. 2108/zsj.16.377)
- Mazzioti G, Giustina A. 2013 Glucocorticoids and the regulation of growth hormone secretion. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 9, 265–276. (doi:10.1038/nrendo. 2013.5)
- Iwen KA, Oelkrug R, Brabant G. 2018 Effects of thyroid hormones on thermogenesis and energy partitioning. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 60, R157–R170. (doi:10.1530/JME-17-0319)
- Wada H, Coutts V. 2021 Detrimental or beneficial? Untangling the literature on developmental stress studies in birds. *J. Exp. Biol.* 224, jeb227363. (doi:10.1242/jeb.227363)
- Wada H, DuRant SE, McNabb FMA. 2018 Altricial and precocial development in birds. In *Encyclopedia* of reproduction, vol. 6, pp. 50–56. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Imsland AK, Foss A, Sparboe LO, Sigurdsson S. 2006 The effect of temperature and fish size on growth and feed efficiency ratio of juvenile spotted wolffish *Anarhichas minor. J. Fish Biol.* 68, 1107–1122. (doi:10.1111/j.0022-1112.2006.00989.x)
- Handeland SO, Imsland AK, Stefansson SO. 2008 The effect of temperature and fish size on growth, feed intake, food conversion efficiency and stomach evacuation rate of Atlantic salmon post-smolts. *Aquaculture* 283, 36–42. (doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2008.06.042)
- Yom-Tov Y, Wright J. 1993 Effect of heating nest boxes on egg laying in the blue tit (*Parus caeruleus*). Auk **110**, 95–99.
- Nord A, Giroud S. 2020 Lifelong effects of thermal challenges during development in birds and mammals. *Front. Physiol.* **11**, 419. (doi:10.3389/ fphys.2020.00419)
- Carroll RL, Davis CA, Fuhlendorf SD, Elmore RD, DuRant SE, Carroll JM. 2018 Avian parental behavior and nest success influenced by temperature fluctuations. J. Therm. Biol 74, 140–148. (doi:10. 1016/j.jtherbio.2018.03.020)
- 100. Cunningham SJ, Martin RO, Hockey PAR. 2015 Can behaviour buffer the impacts of climate change on

an arid-zone bird? *Ostrich* **86**, 119–126. (doi:10. 2989/00306525.2015.1016469)

- 101. du Plessis KL, Martin RO, Hockey PAR, Cunningham SJ, Ridley AR. 2012 The costs of keeping cool in a warming world: implications of high temperatures for foraging, thermoregulation and body condition of an arid-zone bird. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**, 3063–3070. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02778.x)
- Durant SE, Willson JD, Carroll RB. 2019 Parental effects and climate change: will avian incubation behavior shield embryos from increasing environmental temperatures? *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 59, 1068–1080. (doi:10.1093/icb/icz083)
- Cunningham SJ, Gardner JL, Martin RO. 2021 Opportunity costs and the response of birds and mammals to climate warming. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 19, 300–307. (doi:10.1002/fee.2324)
- Teplitsky C, Millien V. 2014 Climate warming and Bergmann's rule through time: is there any evidence? *Evol. Appl.* 7, 156–168. (doi:10.1111/eva. 12129)
- Van Tienderen PH. 1991 Evolution of generalists and specialists in spatially heterogeneous environments. *Evolution* 45, 1317–1331. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646. 1991.tb02638.x)
- Pigliucci M. 2001 Phenotypic plasticity: beyond nature and nurture: syntheses in ecology and evolution.
  Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- 107. Rodríguez S, Diez-Méndez D, Barba E. 2016 Negative effects of high temperatures during development on immediate post-fledging survival in great tits *Parus major. Acta Ornithol.* **51**, 235–244. (doi:10.3161/00016454A02016.51.2.009)
- Reed TE, Robin SW, Schindler DE, Hard JJ, Kinnison MT. 2010 Phenotypic plasticity and population viability: the importance of environmental predictability. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 3391–3400. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0771)
- 109. Eastwood JR, Connallon T, Delhey K, Hall ML, Teunissen N, Kingma SA, la Porte AM, Verhulst S, Peters A. 2022 Hot and dry conditions predict shorter nestling telomeres in an endangered songbird: implications for population persistence. *PNAS* **119**, e212294419. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 2122944119)
- Zimova M, Mills L, Nowak J. 2016 High fitness costs of climate change-induced camouflage mismatch. *Ecol. Lett.* **19**, 299–307. (doi:10.1111/ele.12568)
- Arnold PA, Nicotra AB, Kruuk LEB. 2019 Sparse evidence for selection on phenotypic plasticity in response to temperature. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180185. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0185)
- Hendry AP, Kinnison MT. 1999 The pace of modern life: measuring rates of contemporary microevolution. *Evolution* 53, 1637–1653. (doi:10. 1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb04550.x)
- Weeks BC, Klemz M, Wada H, Darling R, Dias T, O'Brien BK, Probst CM, Zhang M, Zimova M. 2022 Temperature, size and developmental plasticity in birds. Figshare. (doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.c.6305985)

9